


REMARKS

Claim 20 and its dependent claims were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112 because of the Examiner's belief that the language "majority but not all" was not supported by the Specification. Applicants have amended that language, as suggested by the Examiner, without using negative language, to read "majority and less than all." This is clearly supported by the Specification as quoted by the Examiner, and withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. § 112 rejection is deemed appropriate.

Entry of this amendment after final rejection is proper in that it places the application in better condition for appeal by removing an issue from appeal.

If any further issues remain after this amendment, a telephone call to the undersigned would be appreciated.

Respectfully submitted,



Edward G. Greive, Reg. No. 24,726
Renner, Kenner, Greive, Bobak, Taylor & Weber
Fourth Floor, First National Tower
Akron, Ohio 44308-1456
Telephone: (330) 376-1242

Attorney for Applicants

March 15, 2006